1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
3								
4	HONORABLE CONSUELO B. MARSHALL, JUDGE PRESIDING							
5								
6								
7								
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)							
9)							
10	Plaintiff;)							
11) No. CR06-391 VS							
12	CMENTEN EDIK DDOMIED							
13	STEVEN ERIK PROWLER))							
14	Defendant.)							
15	·/							
16	Penorter's Transcript of Proceedings							
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings HEARING Los Angeles, California TUESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2009							
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23	Anne Kielwasser, RPR No. 50901 Federal Official Court Reporter 312 North Spring Street, Room 432 Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-2969 annekielwasser@aol.com							
24								
25								
	amientet was set each . com							

```
1
                        APPEARANCES
 2
 3
      ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
 4
 5
      Sherilyn Peace Garnett
      AUSA - Office of US Attorney
 6
      CR Division - United States District Court
      312 North Spring Street 12th Floor
 7
      Los Angeles, CA 90012
      213-894-2434
 8
      Fax: 213-894-6436
      Email: USACAC.Criminal@usdoj.gov
 9
10
11
      ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
12
      Christopher W Dybwad
13
      Federal Public Defender
      321 East 2nd Street
14
      Los Angeles, CA 90012
      213-894-7545
      Fax: 213-894-0081
15
      Email: ZzCAC FPD Document Receiving@fd.org
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
2.4
25
```

1 TUESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2009 10:30 A.M. 3 PROCEEDINGS 4 5 Item No. 2. CR-06-391. United COURT CLERK: States of America versus Stephen Prowler. 6 7 Appearances, please. 8 MS. GARNETT: Good morning, Your Honor. Sherilyn 9 Garnett, Assistant United States Attorney. Also with me at 10 the counsel table is Special Agent Derek Chen. 11 THE COURT: Good morning. 12 MR. DYBWAD: Good morning, Your Honor. Chris 13 Dybwad on behalf of Mr. Prowler. Mr. Prowler is present via 14 in VideoLink, but he's in custody in Seagoville, Texas. 15 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Prowler. 16 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Sir, if at any time you're not able to 18 hear us as we speak, please let us know that in some way, by 19 raising your hand or something of that type, and that will 20 indicate to me that you are not hearing the proceedings, and 21 we'll try to do whatever we can do to make sure that you're 22 able to hear; and of course we need to hear you as well when 23 you address the Court; and so, if we're not able to hear you, 24 we'll also indicate. 25 The matter is here for sentencing this

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

morning, and I know that Mr. Levario has communicated with counsel that I am not going to be imposing sentence today. I know this case has a long history, but I'm new to the case. When I started my review of documents that I need to review in order to make a determination as to what went wrong with the last sentencing, and I'm not clear on that, so I'm going to ask you some questions about it. looked at the mandate from the Circuit; but as you know, it's just a one-page mandate. I came to some conclusions from reading it but still not sure if it's the right conclusion. I'm aware that counsel were not in agreement as to the calculation of the Guidelines. I've looked at a chart that I think was prepared by the defense counsel trying to give the Court some guidance as to where you disagree. So, I need to do the research to satisfy myself as to whether either of you arrive in your calculations, so I can make the findings for the record. It would be helpful to the Court if I had a copy of the transcript from the last sentencing proceeding that was not a part of the file that might have helped me understand what the issues were and where I needed to focus my attention in order to resolve them.

I do not have the former position papers, apparently, that you've filed for the previous sentencing.

Mr. Levario tells me that he can provide to me the

government's position papers. Apparently that's something that he can obtain. But the defense's position papers apparently was filed under seal, but I would have expected the government's would be under seal as well.

So I don't know why one seems to be available, one isn't; but I know that these issues are all going to be resolved. I put this on the record just to explain to you and to explain to the defendant why I am unable to actually impose sentence today.

I understand that both sides have agreed that the sentencing may proceed with the defendant remaining at the facility where he presently is located; but participating by way of video, this is the first time I've actually had a criminal proceeding by way of video.

One of the questions that I have, though, is:

How does defense counsel communicate with the defendant?

Since you're here, and the defendant, as I understand, is in another state.

I can envision that there may come a time when the defendant may want to consult with defense counsel or defense counsel may want to give some advice to the defendant based upon questions that the Court might ask or other things that could happen in the sentencing hearing; and so, I don't know how you would communicate and still keep that in confidence.

It would appear to me that the defense counsel should be at the same place where the defendant is located to be able to communicate. So, I don't know how you thought that could be accomplished, but I'm sure that's something you're going to explain to me.

The other questions that I have, I noticed that the conditions of supervised release, quite a long list of them, and there may be case law that addressed some of these conditions since this sentence was imposed. I don't know that for sure, but I would ask that counsel review all of the conditions to see whether or not there is any objection to any of them, and if they are supported by case law.

There are new cases that come down, you know, all the time from the Ninth Circuit that give us all guidance as to whether a condition is an appropriate one, constitutional or too broad or, for instance, one of those that I noticed is a condition I know that I have not imposed because I don't know what it involves, and it's listed as No. 9 in the report prepared of the confidential letter, prepared by probation, it's the ABEL, A-B-E-L, Testing. I have no idea what that is.

On prior occasions, I think I've called probation to seek some guidance as to what it is and what's involved, and I don't think it's a condition that I have

imposed in the past. So, that may be one that counsel need to find out more about it if you feel that this is an appropriate condition.

The ones that I question whether or not there are any cases that would suggest that they need to be either reworded or not imposed at all are numbers 4, 5, 6 and 7.

They all have to do with restricting computer use and search of computers, and it may be that those are fine. I just simply don't know what the current law is. The sentence was imposed two years ago. So, things may have changed within that two-year period.

So, those are the reasons why I don't feel that I can impose sentence today. I just don't think I have all the information that I need. So, maybe you can answer some of the questions that I have raised.

First, Defense Counsel, are you satisfied that it's fine for you to be here and the defendant to be in a facility in Texas? And if there is a need for you to communicate in a confidential way, how could that be accomplished?

MR. DYBWAD: Your Honor, it was my understanding from Mr. Prowler previously that he wanted to proceed by way of VideoLink rather than being re-transported back to the Metropolitan Detention Center. It is my current understanding that that is the way he wants to proceed.

As to the Court's second question, that actually occurred to me while walking into court this morning, perhaps it may be possible to use one of the telephone lines in the Court to contact the room that Mr. Prowler is in, in Seagoville, Texas, should the need arise for a private consultation.

As the Court has accurately identified, there is no way to have a private conversation, giving counsel, while Mr. Prowler is on the VideoLink, and I'm standing here. That simply wouldn't be private. Perhaps it may be possible to use the Court's courtroom deputy's phone and make sure that there is an open phone line in the facility that Mr. Prowler is at.

THE COURT: And I can ask the defendant some questions, but I'll try to just ask those questions of defense counsel rather than speaking directly to the defendant and having to try to figure out how you advise him or communicate; but are you satisfied that proceeding by way of video, the defendant is still going to receive adequate representation of counsel?

MR. DYBWAD: I am, Your Honor. As the Court noted, this case has a long procedural history. Mr. Prowler and I have been acquainted for many years.

If Mr. Prowler believes that it's inappropriate and says so on the record, then obviously I

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

believe an in-person resentencing is appropriate, but my current understanding is that proceeding by way of VideoLink is the preferred method. THE COURT: And do you know if he has made the decision to proceed in that way because there were difficulties transporting him to the courthouse, or has he made that decision just for some other reason? MR. DYBWAD: Your Honor, it's my understanding that in part the decision, it was made for two reasons: One is, while the case is initially proceeding pretrial, Mr. Prowler was housed in an administrative segregated way at the MDC on 23-hour lockdown, essentially solitary confinement; and it's my understanding that Mr. Prowler does not have the desire to return to those conditions, and, in fact, those are the conditions that Mr. Prowler would need to be in if he were awaiting the resentencing at the Metropolitan Detention Center. It's also my understanding that if he were to move from the Seagoville, Texas to the Metropolitan Detention Center, he would lose his possessions. In short, he has established a routine and a way of life at Seagoville, Texas which he would lose if he was transported back here to be physically present for the resentencing. And certainly if I've phrased any of that

incorrectly, Mr. Prowler could correct me.

1 THE COURT: I'm not familiar with the facility at 2 Seagoville, Texas. I assume that's a Bureau of Prisons 3 facility. 4 MR. DYBWAD: It is, Your Honor. I believe it's 5 spelled Seagoville, S-E-A-G-O-V-I-L-E. 6 PROBATION OFFICER: That's correct, Your Honor. 7 It's a Bureau of Prisons facility, and it's a minimum 8 security institution. 9 THE COURT: And do either counsel know why the 10 Bureau of Prisons chose to place him there? Is there some 11 special program that they offer based upon the offense to 12 which he's pled or some other reason? 13 MR. DYBWAD: Your Honor, I can offer this: I have 14 had other clients with this type of offense or offenses that 15 fall within this ambit, and a number of them are at 16 Seagoville, Texas. It leads me to believe that it is a 17 facility that houses in part these types of offenses. 18 THE COURT: Do either of you know the city to 19 which one would fly in for purposes of visiting this 20 facility? Because I'm not familiar with it, so I would want 21 more information about what program they have. 22 I don't have control over where he serves his 23 sentence, but I can make the recommendations; and for a 24 while, I was under the impression that Butner in North Carolina had a specific program for those who were convicted 25

```
of similar offenses. Now, maybe this facility has a special
 1
 2
      program too, or there could be some other reason for it.
 3
                      But what city is it close to?
 4
                 PROBATION OFFICER: Dallas, Your Honor. Dallas,
 5
      Texas.
 6
                 MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor, it's been my
 7
      experience in my office that offenders who might have used to
 8
     be at Butner, while some were still sent to Butner,
 9
      Seagoville also has some of them.
10
                 THE COURT: All right, so, it may be a special
11
      program there that I'm just not aware of, and it's a good
12
      time for me to become educated about what that program might
13
     be.
14
                      Let me just ask the defendant a few
15
      questions. Mr. Prowler, is it your desire to proceed at your
16
      sentencing hearing by way of a video? You remaining at the
17
      facility in Texas, and the rest of us being here in Los
18
      Angeles in the courtroom?
19
                 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, yes, it is.
20
      difficult decision for me. I always believe in personal
21
      face-to-face contacts; but in this case, considering what
22
      I've been through presentencing, and all the factors
23
      involved, I don't want to do that again, and the transport
24
      and stress. I thought that this would be good.
25
                      But the only thing I'm insisting on is that I
```

have adequate chance to converse confidentially with my public defender via telephone just for a short time.

I had some trouble reaching him earlier in the week. He's out a lot, but if I could be assured that I can just chat with him by a phone, at some point in the future, that would be fine. I do consent to this video.

MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor, as I understand the Court's comments this morning, we won't be proceeding with the resentencing today but rather we're simply taking the steps to ensure that when we do return for a resentencing, every mechanism is in place. And I think it is important that one mechanism be a telephone line in the courtroom as well as the telephone on the other end in Seagoville.

THE COURT: Well, I'll charge you with the responsibility of making sure whatever is needed that would permit you to communicate with Mr. Prowler confidentially, that those arrangements be made; and so, I'm not quite sure what needs to be done on his end, what needs to be done here; but you will have the responsibility for making sure that he gets adequate representation if we proceed in this manner. And I understand that it's his desire to proceed this way as long as there is a method of his communicating with counsel confidentially.

You might also discuss with him if there is anything that he wishes the Court to consider in addition to

the things that are going to be provided by both government's counsel and defense counsel, that those be available to the Court.

Sometimes defendants have letters that they've written themselves, or they have some certificates that they've received that says they've been in custody that would show what they've been doing since they've been there, information that might be provided by the warden or others who are in charge of that facility about programs that he's participating in and what they see as far as a successful participation in those programs.

There may be others in the community that he wishes the Court to hear from either by written correspondence or otherwise. So, I want to make sure that by proceeding this way, we don't have the defendant at some later time petitioning the Court and expressing some concern about ineffective assistance of counsel or things that weren't in accordance with the way he wanted them to be at the sentencing hearing because we have time to make sure that those things are taken care of.

One of the questions I wanted to raise with the government, the Court is aware that victims have a right to attend these proceedings and be heard at the sentencing hearing. I understand from what I have read or believe that the victims in this case are in another country, in Thailand,

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and at least at the time that the offenses occurred, they were young men; but I don't know what the government has done to make sure that they are aware of their rights, as the Court is required to make sure that they be given that opportunity to be heard if they are present. So, the government might want to address that. MS. GARNETT: One moment, Your Honor. MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor, at a later point I can speak to the procedural history and my understanding of the parties' positions and why it is back on remand. I understand that someone had --THE COURT: I'm going to ask that question. MS. GARNETT: Your Honor, as to the victims in this case, one of the issues that we've had all along is because of the nature of the victims being homeless children, we have lost track of them at various times. For example, one of the victims, Jack, immediately after the offense that's charged in Count No. 4, disappeared, so that defendant could not be charged in Thailand with molesting him. We had the same problem when we brought the case here. We have tracked down the victims at various times, but they had run away or disappeared, and that has been the problem throughout this case.

So, we can do our best to track them down again, but many of the victims that we had had disappeared, and so can we can't notify them.

THE COURT: And I'm not asking that government's counsel or the agent do any extra work. I just want to make sure that the record is clear that the Court is complying with the statute that requires that victims be given an opportunity to be heard at all phases of the proceeding, and of course that does include the sentencing hearing.

I assume, based on government's counsel's comments, that there are also not guardians who may have some interest in these proceedings and may want to have a voice?

MS. GARNETT: At the time that the matter was going to trial, we tried to get guardians to, for the children that we could find, and the case agents here and in Thailand were going to serve as those guardians and sort of chaperone them through the judicial process; but since then, since it's gone on appeal, that has fallen by the wayside. So, we do not have guardians; and if they were guardians, that would be the case agent that's here, as well as another person.

As far as being aware of the process, at the time that the agents were able to track down some of the victims, they did speak with the victims and get their positions in terms of how they had dealt with the defendant

and things that he could have done instead of the conduct that he engaged in with them, and those are referenced in the Declaration of Gary Keirnan that was filed under seal.

And I want to make sure that the Court, at some point before we leave here, has or is aware of all the various papers that the government filed. They were all under seal, but we filed a sentencing position as well as the declaration that includes multiple exhibits from A to U.

THE COURT: So, what I'll do is, I'll order for both counsel to meet-and-confer with the courtroom clerk, Mr. Levario, when we finish this proceeding.

You can identify for him everything that I need to read in order to clearly understand your respective positions and then make sure that all of those things are available to the Court.

As I said, I have not read any of those things, so I think you can better understand and the defendant can understand, too, why I can't proceed with the sentencing hearing. I just don't have the knowledge that I need to have in order to make the record and impose the sentence.

The Court, then, assumes that, as the case was being prepared for trial that the victims -- no arrangements were made for the victims to be present to offer testimony?

MS. GARNETT: Arrangements were made, Your Honor. We went through the INLAP process, and, actually, the victims that we could find, we made arrangements to have somebody watch over them and have them transported here to the United States and be watched over while they were here in the United States. That all took place through the Thai embassy.

It's just that after the defendant pled guilty and we were proceeding to sentencing, we lost track of some of the victims; and, you know, I cannot make any representations as to where any of these victims are today.

THE COURT: Okay, and it may be contained in the declarations that I haven't read. So, the agents may have advised the victims that even though the defendant pled, that it wasn't necessary for them to be present to testify at trial. They still had a right to make a statement at the sentencing hearing if they so desire, and this may have all been done, and it may be addressed in all the filings that have been made. I just don't know.

MS. GARNETT: Well, it hasn't been addressed, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So, counsel, take a look at the statute and satisfy yourselves. If there is something more that needs to be done in order to make sure that the Court is complying with the statute that does give victims that right, that we've done everything that we're supposed to do in order

to make them aware that they have a right to be heard at the sentencing hearing.

I did want to go next to the question of why the remand, and I'll tell you what I'm reading into the mandate, but I could be wrong; and if so, you'll straighten me out.

It appears that while probation calculated thee guideline sentence and actually addressed the guidelines in the presentence report, identifying all the factors that need to be identified for purposes of that calculation, that the government agreed with probation's calculations, that is the guidelines range, and how probation arrived at that range, but the defense disagreed; that at the sentencing hearing, apparently, the sentencing judge never indicated how the judge calculated the guideline range for purposes then of imposing the sentence considering all the factors that need to be considered for that purpose.

That's what I assumed happened from reading the mandates. The mandates suggests that there was no way to determine the sentencing judge's calculation of the guideline range.

So, that's what I understand may have occurred, but you'll straighten me out.

MR. DYBWAD: Your Honor, I believe that's essentially correct. The probation and the government

calculated the guideline range. I believe the total offense level is 39.

At the time of sentencing -- and the defense disputed the number of the enhancements as calculate by the probation and the government. At the time of sentencing, as the sentencing judge pronounced a ten-year sentence and pronounced that the total offense level was 30 but did not explicitly articulate what guideline calculations had gotten to that point.

The government subsequently appealed the sentence and identified two provisions that they believe that the judge had either rejected or not adequately taken into account, that specifically the vulnerable victim enhancement which the government believes was not applied at the original sentencing as well as the double counting argument as to the five-level for the pattern of activity, and essentially resurrecting the dismissed counts under the multiple-count grouping rule.

And the government has advanced that to the Ninth Circuit, but the district court had improperly rejected both of those. The defense position on appeal was, in this case, it actually was not possible to tell how the district court had calculated the total offense level at 30. There were certain permutations that could have applied that were not applied, and therefore it was impossible to tell what was

or wasn't included.

The Ninth Circuit in remanding issued an unpublished disposition which said -- well, it actually said that the parties agreed that there was no way to tell how total offense level of 30 came about, and it needs to be vacated and remanded for a resentencing.

In short, what I think what I've offered the Court is that the Ninth Circuit did not opine on what enhancements apply and don't apply. It simply said that it was unclear at the original sentencing.

THE COURT: And that's the Court's understanding, and so that's why this would be a new sentencing with the parties addressing the appropriate guidelines, providing the Court with your support, which I assume is in your original position paper; and if that's the case, I don't need new position papers, unless the law is changed in some way.

And then the Court would then, as I always do, make a finding as to the appropriate guideline range indicating how I arrived at that figure, what the guideline range is based upon the Court's offense level and the criminal history, and then I go through all the other factors that we need to consider for sentencing purposes.

I want to ask one question. The mandate seems to suggest that the parties agreed that the case had to be remanded. Am I correct? That the parties agreed that

this is a case that had to be remanded in order for the defendant to be sentenced properly.

MR. DYBWAD: Your Honor, I did not author the brief for Mr. Prowler. Certainly it was the defense's position that because it was unclear, it necessitated a remand. As to the government's position re a remand, I think, presuming they were correct, they were, nonetheless, need to be remanded.

MS. GARNETT: The government's position was the same. It necessitated a remand not just for the calculations of the guidelines, but we also argued in our position paper that the sentencing judge had relied on certain reasoning for the ultimate sentence that wasn't in keeping with the facts of the case, and that was all a part of our argument.

And I just also want to point out to the Court that this appeal took place in the midst of, Gall the Supreme Court's decision in Gall and what the correct steps should be taken for reviewing sentences of this nature. And our position, and what the Ninth Circuit has made clear in ^ Carny (phonetically spelled) or ^ Cargy (phonetically spelled), in 2008, is that you start first with calculating the guidelines and then you move on to a reasonableness determination.

Our position is the guidelines were not calculated in a manner where we could determine whether they

were correct; and our position is they were not correct based on the ultimate total offense level and so we didn't reach the reasonableness issue.

And so when we remanded the case or when the case was remanded, it's for a proper calculation as well as the discussion of the 3553(a) factors.

MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor, I believe that comment becomes a little bit more complicated. The government as, Ms. Garnett just pointed out, the government appeals on the guideline calculation. They did not appeal on the reasonableness grounds. As I understand, the government's Reply brief in front of the Ninth Circuit they essentially dropped a footnote saying, Well, we didn't address reasonableness because under Cargy (phonetically spelled) Ms. Garnett is absolutely right, the first set is to accurately calculate the guidelines.

In the Ninth Circuit the defense included a press release that the government issued at the time of the original sentencing saying that this was a decade-long sentence, there was a harsh sentence, that it was a strong deterrent.

Actually, the Court made one comment, I would want to clarify. Those parties have, in fact, put in supplemental position papers before this resentencing, certainly those are papers that the Court should have as

1 well, and we'll make sure that the Court gets those. 2 THE COURT: Well, I will tell you what I have I do have the defendant's position re resentencing, 4 and the proof of service date is October 13, 2009. 5 And so that's where the Court saw this, what I call the chart on page 3. It's entitled Parties' Positions 6 7 Re Advisory Guidelines. And what it does -- or at least I'm interpreting it to mean that use of a minor, the government 8 9 agreed with probation that there were a two-point increase 10 for that, but the defense's position was: It does not apply. 11 "Vulnerable victim," again, four points. The 12 government and the probation department agree. The defense 13 says it does not apply. 14 On "multiple count adjustment," five points, 15 both probation and the government agree that that was 16 applicable, and the defense indicated it does not apply, and then the pattern of activity, five points. Probation, 17 18 government agreeing; the defense, it does not apply if 19 multiple counts applies. 20 And so what this chart shows is that the 21 government in probation's calculation was 39. The defense's 22 calculation was 28. And now the parties advised me that the 23 judge found the offense level to be 30. Everybody, I think, 24 agreed it was the criminal history category of 1. 25 So, is the judge's sentence within the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
quideline range of an offense level of 30 and a criminal
history category of 1, or was it still outside of that range?
           MR. DYBWAD: Yes, it was, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: It was inside the range?
           MR. DYBWAD: It was inside the range at the
high-end. At offense level 30, criminal history category 1,
the advisory quideline range is 97 to 121. A sentence of 120
months was at the top end of that calculated guideline range.
           THE COURT: Would it be helpful -- do the parties
think it would be helpful to the Court to have the briefs
that you filed with the Ninth Circuit? May be helpful, maybe
not. I just don't know. I haven't seen them.
                                               Is that
something that you think it would be helpful if the Court had
the benefit of those briefs, the cases that you cited in
those briefs, and for the Court to do its research and its
analysis?
           MR. DYBWAD: I defer to the government.
government did also file a supplemental paper where they
address, I believe, a lot of the same arguments, correct me
if I'm wrong, that are in the Ninth Circuit brief.
                I'm sure to the extent the Court would be
helped by that, I'll be happy to provide the briefs to the
Court.
           THE COURT: I just don't know if it's helpful.
You prepared those briefs or somebody in your offices did.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Would the government's counsel tell me what
was the filing date of the paper that you filed, position
paper that you filed for purposes of this hearing?
           MS. GARNETT: October 13th. I filed it the same
day as defense counsel.
           THE COURT: And was it filed under seal?
           MS. GARNETT: No, Your Honor.
           THE COURT: Okay, nor was the defense's filed
under seal.
           MR. DYBWAD: The defense's was filed under seal.
The government's was not filed under seal.
           THE COURT: All right, so, as I said, if you'll
talk to Mr. Levario before you leave, we just need to find
all these things and put them together.
                Now, if you think it would be beneficial to
the Court to review the briefs that you filed on appeal, I'll
review them, but I'll let you make that initial decision.
                It might be that you have put in your more
recent position papers, and there is no position papers,
everything that you think the Court needs to know, the new
cases that you may rely upon as well as anything that may
have changed since the defendant was sentenced two years ago.
                So, I'm not looking for extra reading. I
just want to make sure that I have everything that I need to
do the job that I need to do.
```

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay, those were the concerns that the Court had. Are there other things that you wish to place on the record, just so that the Court should consider it, at least, before we have our new sentencing date? Does government have anything more to put on this record? MS. GARNETT: No, Your Honor. I just want to point out one thing. The defense counsel -- just because he raised it today in court -- talked about a press release that was attached to the defense counsel's papers; and obviously the government is always going to be respectful of the Court's decisions. The government is not going to attack the Court's decisions in the media, but in this we and probation has been very consistent about what we thought was the reasonable and appropriate sentence from the very beginning to the fact that we appealed the sentence to the Ninth Circuit, and we're arguing the same thing today and at the ultimate sentencing. And so, to point to a press release, I think is just --MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor, I respectfully disagree; and we can get into the press release right now. THE COURT: No, I don't think we need to. Let me just advise the parties. As far as I'm concerned, this is a resentencing, starting all over again.

I think it's my job to read the position

papers of the parties, the presentence report, to calculate the guidelines based upon the information that each side has provided, including probation; and I agreed the starting place, and it's always the place where I start is the guideline calculation.

If I agree with it, then I would say so; if I disagree with it, I would say so; but then I would make specific findings on the arguments that the parties have made. So it would be clear from the record for you, for the defendant and anybody reviewing the record that the Court has calculated the guidelines in this particular way and then what the sentence is within that guideline range, and then the Court would go through all of the other factors that the Court needs to consider for a sentencing purposes.

So, the press release, I doubt that it would be helpful to the Court anyway; but, you know, it doesn't preclude you from providing the Court with whatever you think the Court needs, and then both sides, of course, can certainly object to anything that's provided that you think is not relevant, and the Court would be able to rule on that.

So, I don't think that we need to make those findings here. I start with the premise of knowing very little about the case, just the few things that I was able to find, the presentence report, but I didn't have the benefit of the position papers of the parties.

```
1
                      So, that's where we are.
 2
                 MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor, I understand the
 3
     Court's comments, and I'm not going to argue now. I do think
      it's relevant; and at the time of sentencing, I can explain
 4
 5
     why.
 6
                 THE COURT: Okay, all right. Anything else that
 7
     either side -- any questions that you wish to ask me just so
 8
     that we can all be prepared for this hearing when we set it
 9
     next or just anything else that you wish to place on the
10
      record?
11
                      Government have anything?
12
                 MS. GARNETT: One moment, Your Honor.
13
                 (Discussion off the record.)
14
                 MS. GARNETT: No, Your Honor.
15
                 THE COURT: Defense, anything?
16
                 MR. DYBWAD: Your Honor, the only thing I'd note
17
      is that, given the Court's comments about some of the
18
      supervised release conditions, after taking a look, there may
19
     be an additional defense filing regarding those and also
20
     given the Court's comments about making sure that any
21
     information about Mr. Prowler's progress while in custody is
22
     before the Court, that may also be attached to an additional
23
      filing. I just want to alert the Court that that is a
24
     possibility.
25
                 THE COURT: Why don't I just order that if either
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

side decides to supplement the position papers that are presently before the Court, and that includes your most recent ones, that those additional filings to be filed with the Court no later than two weeks prior to the sentencing hearing. I said two weeks because then that gives each of you an opportunity to object to anything that the other may have filed or to be able to advise the Court as to why you think it's not correct or misleading or whatever your position might be. Then I think we remain then to find a new date for a sentencing; and Mr. Levario and I did talk about a date. I don't know if it works, but I'll let him tell us. COURT CLERK: Your Honor, we have a couple of dates that may be available: November 23rd or the 30th. THE COURT: So, November 23rd is probably a better date than the 30th, correct? COURT CLERK: Correct. THE COURT: Just in terms of things we have on calendar. So, I'll tell you why there are two suggested dates. I will be starting a trial on the 3rd of November. Based upon the time estimate, I believe that we should be finished by November 3rd, but you know how that goes. So, I'm usually wrong on the estimates. I'm always thinking

1 we're going to finish sooner than we do. 2 So, we could give you the 23rd. If for some 3 reason it appears to the Court that we are not going to be 4 finished with the trial and therefore able to sentence in this case on the 23rd, I will know that ahead of the 23rd. 5 So, Mr. Levario could just simply give you that information. 6 7 The other date, the 30th, Mr. Levario indicated to me that's a very heavy calendar date; and so, 8 9 this sentencing will take some time. So, I don't want to put 10 it on a day when we're all feeling that we are overwhelmed; 11 but if we set it for the 30th, it would be the afternoon of 12 the 30th. Both days are Mondays, I believe. 13 COURT CLERK: Correct, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: So, it would be the afternoon of the 30th. 15 16 And do we have anything on calendar on the afternoon of the 30th. 17 18 COURT CLERK: We do, but I understand there may be 19 a request to continue those matters. 20 THE COURT: So, I'm prepared to offer you the 21 23rd, indicating that if it appears that the Court is not 22 going to be available that date because we're still in trial, 23 we'll give you advanced notice and then would continue it 24 probably to the 30th, or we can set it for the 30th now. So, either counsel have any preference for 25

```
1
      either of those dates? They're both Mondays.
 2
                 MS. GARNETT: November 23rd is fine with the
 3
      government counsel.
                 THE COURT: How about defense?
 4
 5
                 MR. DYBWAD: Your Honor, November 23rd is fine
      with defense counsel as well. I have a sentencing at 9:00
 6
 7
      a.m. that day as well as in the afternoon. Was the Court
 8
      contemplating an 11:00 o'clock as well?
 9
                 THE COURT: I will ask Mr. Levario.
10
                      On the 23rd what would be the time?
11
                 COURT CLERK: 1:00 o'clock.
12
                 THE COURT: 1:00 o'clock on the 23rd would be the
13
      time.
14
                      And what's our time difference between here
15
      and the community in which the defendant is? Is it two
16
     hours?
17
                 MS. GARNETT: I believe it's three hours, isn't
18
      it?
19
                 THE COURT: And of course we're going to change
20
      time soon, so it may differ.
21
                      The only reason I raise that question -- if
22
      we set it for the afternoon, I don't know what's going on at
23
      the facility in the afternoon. This is something defense
24
      counsel could find out, whether based upon the difference in
25
      time, it would affect any programs, meals, anything else that
```

1 may be occurring in the institution; but I'll leave you to 2 assist the Court in making that determination. 3 So, if you feel that is not a good time, just 4 based upon the difference in time, then we could change that time, but then we would have to look at what you're doing as 5 well. So, I'll let you just work with Mr. Levario. Right 6 7 now, November 23rd at 1:00 o'clock. 8 If I could just ask the defendant: 9 you know the time difference between California and Texas, 10 the time that we're talking about, in November? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. It's two hours. 12 We are two hours ahead of you. So, noontime -- what is 13 noontime in Los Angeles it's 2:00 o'clock here. THE COURT: So, if we set the sentencing hearing 14 15 to commence at 3:00 o'clock, I'm sorry, at 1:00 o'clock our 16 time, which will be 3:00 o'clock your time, and if it took 17 approximately an hour to do that, is there anything going on, 18 of which you're aware at the institution that would conflict 19 with that schedule? 20 THE DEFENDANT: To the best of my knowledge, there 21 is no conflict. My case manager is with me right now, and he 22 indicated that there would be no problem.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, so, the matter is continued to November 23rd at 1:00 o'clock; and then if any additional supplemental filing is to be filed, no later than

23

24

25

two weeks prior to that date.

Anything else that we need to put on the record?

MR. DYBWAD: Your Honor, and I'm sure this has been done. I know the Court issued a writ, and I just wanted to make clear that the writ was cancelled and that there is no accidental transporting of Mr. Prowler.

MS. GARNETT: I informed the marshal person who handles transportation that I would give her a call after this hearing to let her know whether we would need the writ, and I can tell her that we've made arrangements for video conferencing.

on defense counsel satisfying yourself that there will be a way to communicate in confidence with your client to satisfy the requirements of affective assistance; and if there is any problem and a decision is made, that defendant needs to be transported here, then that information should be provided to those who would be responsible for getting those arrangements made.

So, if we need another writ or the marshals need to know, at this point it appears that it is

Mr. Prowler's position that he'd like to remain at the facility, have the sentencing hearing by video as long as he can be assured that there is a way to communicate with

```
1
      counsel in confidence.
 2
                       That is your position, Mr. Prowler?
 3
                 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
                 THE COURT: All right, thank you.
 5
                 MR. DYBWAD: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
                 THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
 7
                                  ~ ~ ~
 8
                  (Proceedings concluded.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically recorded proceedings in the above matter. Fees charged for this transcript, less any circuit fee reduction and/or deposit, are in conformance with the regulations of the judicial conference of the united states. 9/10/2010 Date Anne Kielwasser, CSR, RPR Official Court Reporter 2.4

1			
- 1			

4	432 (4) 1·24	addressing (4) 20:13	applies M. 23:10	believes [2] - 8:24,
1	432 [1] - 1:24	addressing [1] - 20:13	applies [1] - 23:19	19:14
		adequate [3] - 8:19,	apply [6] - 20:9, 23:10,	
1 [3] - 23:24, 24:2,	5	12:1, 12:20	23:13, 23:16, 23:18	beneficial [1] - 25:15
24:6		adequately [1] - 19:12	appropriate [6] - 6:16,	benefit [2] - 24:14,
10:30 [1] - 3:1	5 [1] - 7:6	adjustment [1] - 23:14	7:3, 9:1, 20:13,	27:24
11:00 [1] - 31:8	50901 [1] - 1:23	administrative [1] -	20:18, 26:15	best [2] - 15:1, 32:20
120 [1] - 24:7		9:11	argue [1] - 28:3	better [2] - 16:17,
121 [1] - 24:7	6	advanced [2] - 19:19,	argued [1] - 21:11	29:16
12th [1] - 2:6		30:23	arguing [1] - 26:17	between [2] - 31:14,
13 [1] - 23:4		advice [1] - 5:21	argument [2] - 19:15,	32:9
13th [1] - 25:4	6 [1] - 7:6	advise [3] - 8:17,	21:14	bit [1] - 22:8
1:00 [5] - 31:11, 31:12,		26:23, 29:8	arguments [2] - 24:19,	brief [3] - 21:4, 22:12,
32:7, 32:15, 32:24	7	advised [2] - 17:13,	27:8	24:20
02.7, 02.10, 02.24		23:22	arise [1] - 8:6	briefs [6] - 24:10,
2	7 [1] - 7:6	Advisory [1] - 23:7	arrangements [6] -	24:14, 24:15, 24:22,
2	- I [1] - 1.0	advisory [1] - 24:7	12:17, 16:24, 17:1,	24:25, 25:16
	8	affect [1] - 31:25	17:3, 33:11, 33:19	broad [1] - 6:17
2 [1] - 3:5	O	affective [1] - 33:16	arrive [1] - 4:16	brought [1] - 14:22
20 [2] - 1:18, 3:1		afternoon [6] - 30:11,	arrived [2] - 18:12,	Bureau [3] - 10:2,
2008 [1] - 21:21	894-2969 [1] - 1:25	30:14, 30:17, 31:7,	20:19	10:7, 10:10
2009 [3] - 1:18, 3:1,		31:22, 31:23	articulate [1] - 19:8	Butner [3] - 10:24,
23:4	9	agent [2] - 15:5, 15:20	assist [1] - 32:2	11:8
213 [1] - 1:25		Agent [1] - 3:10	assistance [2] - 13:17,	С
213-894-0081 [1] -	• • • • • •	agents [3] - 15:15,	33:16	L C
2:15	9 _[1] - 6:20	15:23, 17:12	Assistant [1] - 3:9	
213-894-2434 [1] - 2:7	9/10/2010 [1] - 35:10	ago [2] - 7:10, 25:22	assume [3] - 10:2,	CA [2] - 2:7, 2:14
213-894-6436 [1] - 2:8	90012 [3] - 1:24, 2:7,	agree [3] - 23:12,	15:10, 20:14	calculate [3] - 19:4,
213-894-7545 [1] -	2:14	23:15, 27:6	assumed [1] - 18:18	22:16, 27:1
2:14	97 [1] - 24:7	agreed [8] - 5:10,	assumes [1] - 16:22	calculated [7] - 18:7,
23-hour [1] - 9:12	9:00 [1] - 31:6	18:11, 20:4, 20:24,	assured [2] - 12:4,	18:15, 19:1, 19:23,
23rd [12] - 29:15,		20:25, 23:9, 23:24, 27:3	33:25	21:25, 24:8, 27:11
29:16, 30:2, 30:5,	A		attached [2] - 26:10, 28:22	calculating [1] - 21:21
30:21, 31:2, 31:5,		agreeing [1] - 23:18		calculation [8] - 4:12,
31:10, 31:12, 32:7,	A.M [1] - 3:1	agreement [1] - 4:11	attack [1] - 26:12	18:10, 18:20, 22:5,
32:24	a.m [1] - 31:7	ahead [2] - 30:5, 32:12 alert [1] - 28:23	attend [1] - 13:23 attention [1] - 4:22	22:10, 23:21, 23:22,
28 [1] - 23:22	ABEL [2] - 6:21	ambit [1] - 10:15	Attorney [2] - 2:5, 3:9	27:5
2:00 [1] - 32:13	able [9] - 3:17, 3:22,	America [1] - 3:6	AUSA [1] - 2:5	calculations [4] -
2nd [1] - 2:13	3:23, 6:3, 15:23,	AMERICA [1] - 1:8	author[1] - 21:3	4:17, 18:11, 19:8,
	27:20, 27:23, 29:8,			21:10
3	30:4	analysis [1] - 24:16 Angeles [6] - 1:17,	available [5] - 5:6, 13:2, 16:15, 29:15,	calendar [3] - 29:20,
	absolutely [1] - 22:15	1:24, 2:7, 2:14,	30:22	30:8, 30:16
3 [1] - 23:6	accidental [1] - 33:7	11:18, 32:13	awaiting [1] - 9:16	CALIFORNIA [1] - 1:2
30 [6] - 19:7, 19:23,	accomplished [2] -	Anne [2] - 1:23, 35:11	aware [8] - 4:11,	California [3] - 1:17,
20:5, 23:23, 24:1,	6:4, 7:20	annekielwasser@aol	11:11, 13:22, 14:3,	1:24, 32:9
24:6	accordance [1] -	.com [1] - 1:25	15:22, 16:5, 18:1,	cancelled [1] - 33:6
30th [9] - 29:15, 29:17,	13:18	answer [1] - 7:14	32:18	cannot [1] - 17:9
30:7, 30:11, 30:12,	account [1] - 19:13	anyway [1] - 27:16	3	care [1] - 13:20
30:15, 30:17, 30:24	accurately [2] - 8:7,	appeal [5] - 15:18,	В	Cargy [2] - 21:20,
312 [2] - 1:24, 2:6	22:16	19:21, 21:16, 22:10,		22:14
321 [1] - 2:13	acquainted [1] - 8:23	25:16		Carny [1] - 21:20
3553(a [1] - 22:6	activity [2] - 19:16,	appealed [2] - 19:10,	based [9] - 5:22,	Carolina [1] - 10:25
39 [2] - 19:2, 23:21	23:17	26:16	10:11, 15:10, 20:20,	case [24] - 4:3, 6:8,
3:00 [2] - 32:15, 32:16	addition [1] - 12:25	appeals [1] - 22:10	22:1, 27:2, 29:23,	6:12, 8:22, 9:10,
3rd [2] - 29:22, 29:24	additional [4] - 28:19,	appear [1] - 6:1	31:24, 32:4	11:21, 13:25, 14:15,
J. w [2] 20.22, 20.24	28:22, 29:3, 32:25	appearances [1] - 3:7	become [1] - 11:12	14:23, 14:25, 15:15,
4	address [4] - 3:23,	applicable [1] - 23:16	becomes [1] - 22:8	15:20, 16:22, 19:22,
7	14:6, 22:14, 24:19	applied [3] - 19:14,	beginning [1] - 26:15	20:15, 20:24, 21:1,
	addressed [4] - 6:8,	19:24, 19:25	BEHALF [2] - 2:3, 2:11	21:14, 22:4, 22:5,
4 [2] - 7:6, 14:19	17:17, 17:19, 18:8	10.21, 10.20	behalf [1] - 3:13	27:23, 30:5, 32:21

cases [4] - 6:14, 7:5, 24:14, 25:21 category [3] - 23:24, 24:2, 24:6 Center [3] - 7:24, 9:17, 9.20 **CENTRAL** [1] - 1:2 certain [2] - 19:24, 21:12 certainly [4] - 9:24, 21:4, 22:25, 27:19 certificates [1] - 13:5 certify [1] - 35:2 chance [1] - 12:1 change [2] - 31:19, 32:4 changed [3] - 7:10, 20:16, 25:22 **chaperone** [1] - 15:17 charge [2] - 12:14, 13:9 charged [3] - 14:19, 14:20, 35:5 chart [3] - 4:13, 23:6, 23:20 chat [1] - 12:5 Chen [1] - 3:10 children [2] - 14:16, 15:15 **chose** [1] - 10:10 Chris [1] - 3:12 Christopher [1] - 2:12 circuit [1] - 35:5 Circuit [11] - 4:8, 6:15, 19:20, 20:2, 20:8, 21:19, 22:12, 22:17, 24:11, 24:20, 26:17 cited [1] - 24:14 city [2] - 10:18, 11:3 clarify [1] - 22:23 clear [5] - 4:6, 15:6, 21:19, 27:9, 33:6 clearly [1] - 16:13 CLERK [6] - 3:5, 29:14, 29:18, 30:13, 30:18, 31:11 clerk [1] - 16:10 client [1] - 33:15 clients [1] - 10:14 close [1] - 11:3 commence [1] - 32:15 comment [2] - 22:8, 22:22 comments [5] - 12:8, 15:11, 28:3, 28:17, 28:20 communicate [8] -5:16, 5:24, 6:3, 7:19, 8:18, 12:16, 33:15, 33:25

communicated [1] -4:1 communicating [1] -12.22 community [2] -13:12, 31:15 complicated [1] - 22:8 complying [2] - 15:6, 17:24 computer [1] - 7:7 computers [1] - 7:8 concern [1] - 13:16 concerned [1] - 26:23 concerns [1] - 26:1 concluded [1] - 34:8 **conclusion** [1] - 4:10 conclusions [1] - 4:9 condition [4] - 6:16, 6:18, 6:25, 7:3 **conditions** [6] - 6:7, 6:9, 6:11, 9:14, 9:15, 28:18 conduct [1] - 16:1 confer[1] - 16:10 conference [1] - 35:7 conferencing [1] -33:12 confidence [3] - 5:25, 33:15, 34:1 confidential [2] - 6:20, 7:19 confidentially [3] -12:1, 12:16, 12:23 confinement [1] - 9:13 conflict [2] - 32:18, 32:21 conformance [1] -35:6 consent [1] - 12:6 consider [4] - 12:25, 20:22, 26:3, 27:14 considered [1] - 18:17 considering [2] -11:21, 18:16 consistent [1] - 26:14 constitutional [1] -6:17 **CONSUELO** [1] - 1:4 consult [1] - 5:20 consultation [1] - 8:6 contact [1] - 8:4 contacts [1] - 11:21 contained [1] - 17:11 contemplating [1] -31:8 contingent [1] - 33:13

continue [2] - 30:19,

continued [1] - 32:24

30:23

control [1] - 10:22 conversation [1] - 8:8 converse [1] - 12:1 convicted [1] - 10:25 copy [1] - 4:19 correct [14] - 9:25, 10:6, 18:25, 20:25, 21:7, 21:17, 22:1, 24:19, 29:9, 29:17, 29:18, 30:13, 35:2 correspondence [1] -13:14 counsel [30] - 3:10, 4:2. 4:11. 4:13. 5:16. 5:20, 5:21, 6:2, 6:10, 7:1, 8:8, 8:16, 8:20, 10:9, 12:22, 13:2, 13:17, 15:5, 16:10, 17:21, 25:1, 25:5, 26:8, 30:25, 31:3, 31:6, 31:24, 33:14, 34.1 Counsel [1] - 7:16 counsel's [2] - 15:10, 26:10 count [2] - 19:17, 23:14 Count [1] - 14:19 counting [1] - 19:15 country [1] - 13:25 counts [2] - 19:17, 23:19 couple [1] - 29:14 course [4] - 3:22, 15:9, 27:18, 31:19 **COURT** [47] - 1:1, 3:5, 3:11, 3:15, 3:17, 8:14, 9:4, 10:1, 10:9, 10:18, 11:10, 12:14, 14:13, 15:4, 16:9, 17:11, 17:21, 20:11, 23:2, 24:4, 24:9, 24:24, 25:6, 25:8, 25:12, 26:22, 28:6, 28:15, 28:25, 29:14, 29:16, 29:18, 29:19, 30:13, 30:14, 30:18, 30:20, 31:4, 31:9, 31:11, 31:12, 31:19, 32:14, 32:23, 33:13, 34:4, 34:6 court [4] - 8:2, 19:20, 19:23, 26:9 Court [55] - 1:23, 2:6, 3:23, 4:14, 4:18, 5:22, 8:4, 8:7, 8:21, 12:25, 13:3, 13:13, 13:16, 13:22, 14:4, 15:6, 16:4, 16:15,

22:22, 22:25, 23:1, 23:5, 24:10, 24:13, 24:15, 24:21, 24:23, 25:16, 25:20, 26:1, 26:3, 27:10, 27:13, 27:14, 27:16, 27:17, 27:18. 27:20. 28:22. 28:23, 29:2, 29:4, 29:8, 30:3, 30:21, 31:7, 32:2, 33:5, 35:11 Court's [11] - 8:1, 8:11, 12:8, 20:11, 20:20, 21:17, 26:12, 26:13, 28:3, 28:17, 28:20 courthouse [1] - 9:6 courtroom [4] - 8:11, 11:18, 12:12, 16:10 CR [1] - 2:6 CR-06-391 [1] - 3:5 CR06-391 [1] - 1:10 criminal [5] - 5:14, 20:21, 23:24, 24:1, 24:6 CSR [1] - 35:11 current [3] - 7:9, 7:24, 9:2 custody [3] - 3:14, 13:6, 28:21 D

20:14, 20:17, 21:16,

Dallas [2] - 11:4 Date [1] - 35:11 date [10] - 23:4, 25:2, 26:4, 29:12, 29:13, 29:17, 30:7, 30:8, 30:22, 33:1 dates [3] - 29:15, 29:22, 31:1 days [1] - 30:12 dealt [1] - 15:25 decade [1] - 22:19 decade-long[1] -22:19 decides [1] - 29:1 decision [7] - 9:5, 9:7, 9:9, 11:20, 21:17, 25:17, 33:17 decisions [2] - 26:12, 26:13 Declaration [1] - 16:3 declaration [1] - 16:8 declarations [1] -17:12 **DEFENDANT** [6] -2:11, 3:16, 11:19,

32:11, 32:20, 34:3 defendant [25] - 1:14, 5:8, 5:11, 5:16, 5:17, 5:20, 5:22, 6:2, 7:17, 8:14, 8:17, 8:19, 11:14, 13:15, 14:20, 15:25, 16:18, 17:7, 17:13. 21:2. 25:22. 27:10, 31:15, 32:8, 33:17 defendant's [1] - 23:3 defendants [1] - 13:4 defender [1] - 12:2 Defender [1] - 2:13 Defense [1] - 7:16 defense [23] - 4:13, 5:16, 5:20, 5:21, 6:1, 8:16, 13:2, 18:13, 19:3, 19:21, 22:17, 23:12, 23:16, 23:18, 25:5, 26:8, 26:10, 28:15, 28:19, 31:4, 31:6, 31:23, 33:14 defense's [6] - 5:2, 21:4, 23:10, 23:21, 25:8, 25:10 defer [1] - 24:17 **department** [1] - 23:12 deposit [1] - 35:6 deputy's [1] - 8:11 Derek [1] - 3:10 desire [4] - 9:14, 11:15, 12:21, 17:16 **Detention** [3] - 7:24, 9:17, 9:19 determination [3] -4:5, 21:23, 32:2 determine [2] - 18:20, 21:25 deterrent [1] - 22:21 differ [1] - 31:20 difference [4] - 31:14, 31:24, 32:4, 32:9 difficult [1] - 11:20 difficulties [1] - 9:6 directly [1] - 8:16 disagree [3] - 4:14, 26:21, 27:7 disagreed [1] - 18:13 disappeared [3] -14:20, 14:24, 15:2 discuss [1] - 12:24 **Discussion** [1] - 28:13 discussion [1] - 22:6 dismissed [1] - 19:17 disposition [1] - 20:3 disputed [1] - 19:4 District [1] - 2:6 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, 1:2

district [2] - 19:20,

16:22, 17:23, 20:8,

19:22 **Division** [1] - 2:6 documents [1] - 4:4 done [8] - 12:18, 14:2, 16:1, 17:17, 17:23, 17:25, 33:5 double [1] - 19:15 doubt [1] - 27:15 down [4] - 6:14, 14:23, 15:1, 15:23 dropped [1] - 22:13 Dybwad [2] - 2:12, 3:13 **DYBWAD** [22] - 3:12. 7:21, 8:21, 9:8, 10:4, 10:13, 11:6, 12:7, 14:9, 18:24, 21:3, 22:7, 24:3, 24:5, 24:17, 25:10, 26:20, 28:2, 28:16, 31:5, 33:4, 34:5

Ε

East [1] - 2:13 educated [1] - 11:12 either [10] - 4:16, 7:5, 10:9, 10:18, 13:13, 19:12, 28:7, 28:25, 30:25, 31:1 Email [2] - 2:8, 2:15 embassy [1] - 17:6 end [4] - 12:13, 12:18, 24:6, 24:8 engaged [1] - 16:2 enhancement [1] -19:13 enhancements [2] -19:4, 20:9 ensure [1] - 12:10 entitled [1] - 23:6 envision [1] - 5:19 ERIK [1] - 1:12 essentially [4] - 9:12, 18:25, 19:16, 22:13 established [1] - 9:21 estimate [1] - 29:23 estimates [1] - 29:25 example [1] - 14:18 **exhibits** [1] - 16:8 expected [1] - 5:3 experience [1] - 11:7 **explain** [4] - 5:8, 6:5, 28.4 explicitly [1] - 19:8 **expressing** [1] - 13:16 extent [1] - 24:21 extra [2] - 15:5, 25:23

F

face [2] - 11:21

face-to-face [1] -11:21 facility [13] - 5:12, 7:18, 8:12, 10:1, 10:3, 10:7, 10:17, 10:20, 11:1, 11:17, 13:9, 31:23, 33:24 fact [3] - 9:15, 22:23, 26:16 factors [6] - 11:22, 18:9, 18:16, 20:21, 22:6, 27:13 facts [1] - 21:13 fall [1] - 10:15 fallen [1] - 15:18 familiar [2] - 10:1, 10:20 far [3] - 13:10, 15:22, 26:23 Fax [2] - 2:8, 2:15 Federal [2] - 1:23, 2:13 fee [1] - 35:5 fees [1] - 35:5 few [2] - 11:14, 27:23 **figure** [2] - 8:17, 20:19 file [2] - 4:20, 24:18 filed [17] - 4:24, 5:3, 16:3, 16:6, 16:7, 24:11, 25:2, 25:3, 25:4, 25:6, 25:8, 25:10, 25:11, 25:16, 29:3, 29:8, 32:25 filing [4] - 25:2, 28:19, 28:23, 32:25 filings [2] - 17:17, 29:3 findings [3] - 4:17, 27:8, 27:22 fine [5] - 7:8, 7:17, 12:6, 31:2, 31:5 finish [2] - 16:11, 30:1 finished [2] - 29:24, 30:4 first [4] - 5:13, 7:16, 21:21, 22:15 five [3] - 19:16, 23:14, 23:17 five-level [1] - 19:16 Floor [1] - 2:6 fly [1] - 10:19 focus [1] - 4:21 footnote [1] - 22:13 foregoing [1] - 35:2 former [1] - 4:23

four [1] - 23:11

front [1] - 22:12 future [1] - 12:6

G Gall [2] - 21:16, 21:17 Garnett [4] - 2:5, 3:9, 22.9 22.15 **GARNETT** [15] - 3:8, 14:8, 14:14, 15:13, 17:1, 17:19, 21:9, 25:4, 25:7, 26:7, 28:12, 28:14, 31:2, 31:17, 33:8 **Gary** [1] - 16:3 given [4] - 14:4, 15:7, 28:17, 28:20 government [25] -13:22, 14:2, 14:6, 16:6, 18:11, 18:25, 19:5, 19:10, 19:14,

19:19, 22:9, 22:18, 10:4, 10:6, 10:13, 23:8, 23:12, 23:15, 11:4, 11:6, 11:19, 23:18, 23:21, 24:17, 12:7, 14:8, 14:9, 24:18, 26:5, 26:11, 26:12, 28:11, 31:3 government's [10] -24:3, 25:7, 26:7, 5:1, 5:4, 13:1, 15:4, 15:10, 21:6, 21:9, 22:12, 25:1, 25:11 grounds [1] - 22:11 33:4, 34:3, 34:5 grouping [1] - 19:18

6:15, 6:24 **guideline** [13] - 18:8, 18:15, 18:20, 19:1, 19:8, 20:18, 20:19, 22:10, 24:1, 24:7,

24:8, 27:5, 27:12 **Guidelines** [2] - 4:12, 23:7

guardians [5] - 15:11,

guidance [3] - 4:14,

15:14, 15:16, 15:19

guidelines [9] - 18:8, 18:12, 20:13, 21:11, 21:22, 21:24, 22:16, 27:2, 27:11 guilty [1] - 17:8

Н

hand [1] - 3:19 handles [1] - 33:9 happy [1] - 24:22 harsh [1] - 22:20 hear [5] - 3:18, 3:22, 3:23, 13:13 heard [4] - 13:23, 14:5, 15:8, 18:1 **HEARING** [1] - 1:17 hearing [16] - 3:20, 5:23, 11:16, 13:19, 13:24, 15:9, 16:19, 17:16, 18:2, 18:14, 25:3, 28:8, 29:5, 32:14, 33:10, 33:24 heavy [1] - 30:8 helped [2] - 4:20, 24:22 helpful [7] - 4:18, 24:9, 24:10, 24:11, 24:13, 24:24, 27:16 hereby [1] - 35:2 high [1] - 24:6 high-end [1] - 24:6 history [7] - 4:3, 8:22, 14:10, 20:21, 23:24, 24.2 24.6 homeless [1] - 14:16 Honor [36] - 3:8, 3:12, 3:16, 7:21, 8:21, 9:8, 14:14, 17:1, 17:20, 18:24, 21:3, 22:7, 26:20, 28:2, 28:12, 28:14, 28:16, 29:14, 30:13, 31:5, 32:11, HONORABLE [1] - 1:4 hour [1] - 32:17 hours [4] - 31:16, 31:17, 32:11, 32:12 housed [1] - 9:11 houses [1] - 10:17

ı

idea [1] - 6:22

identified [3] - 8:7, 18:10, 19:11 identify [1] - 16:12 identifying [1] - 18:9 immediately [1] -14:19 important [1] - 12:11 impose [3] - 5:9, 7:13, 16:20 imposed [5] - 6:9, 6:18, 7:1, 7:6, 7:10 imposing [2] - 4:2, 18:16 impossible [1] - 19:25 impression [1] - 10:24 improperly [1] - 19:20 in-person [1] - 9:1

inappropriate [1] -8:25 include [1] - 15:9 included [2] - 20:1, 22:17 includes [2] - 16:8, 29.2 including [1] - 27:3 incorrectly [1] - 9:25 increase [1] - 23:9 indicate [2] - 3:20, 3:24 indicated [4] - 18:14. 23:16. 30:8. 32:22 indicating [2] - 20:19, 30:21 ineffective [1] - 13:17 **information** [7] - 7:14, 10:21, 13:8, 27:2, 28:21, 30:6, 33:18 **informed** [1] - 33:8 initial [1] - 25:17 INLAP [1] - 17:2 inside [2] - 24:4, 24:5 insisting [1] - 11:25 instance [1] - 6:17 instead [1] - 16:1 **institution** [3] - 10:8, 32:1, 32:18 interest [1] - 15:12 interpreting [1] - 23:8 involved [2] - 6:25, 11.23 involves [1] - 6:19 issue [1] - 22:3 issued [3] - 20:2, 22:18, 33:5 issues [3] - 4:21, 5:6,

J

14:15

Item [1] - 3:5

Jack [1] - 14:18 job [2] - 25:25, 26:25 judge [6] - 18:14, 18:15, 19:6, 19:12, 21:12, 23:23 JUDGE [1] - 1:4 judge's [2] - 18:20, 23:25 judicial [2] - 15:17, 35:7

K

keep [1] - 5:24 keeping [1] - 21:13

Keirnan [1] - 16:3 Kielwasser [2] - 1:23, 35:11 knowing [1] - 27:22 knowledge [2] -16:19, 32:20

L

last [2] - 4:6, 4:19 law [4] - 6:8, 6:13, 7:9, 20:16 leads [1] - 10:16 least [3] - 14:1, 23:7, 26:3 leave [3] - 16:5, 25:13, 32.1 less [1] - 35:5 letter [1] - 6:20 letters [1] - 13:4 **Levario** [9] - 4:1, 4:25, 16:11, 25:13, 29:12, 30:6, 30:7, 31:9, 32:6 level [10] - 19:2, 19:7, 19:16, 19:23, 20:5, 20:20, 22:2, 23:23, 24:1, 24:6 life [1] - 9:21 line [2] - 8:12, 12:12 lines [1] - 8:4 list [1] - 6:7 listed [1] - 6:19 located [2] - 5:12, 6:3 lockdown [1] - 9:12 look [3] - 17:21, 28:18, 32:5 looked [2] - 4:8, 4:12 looking [1] - 25:23 Los [6] - 1:17, 1:24, 2:7, 2:14, 11:17, 32:13 lose [2] - 9:20, 9:22 lost [2] - 14:17, 17:8

М

manager [1] - 32:21

mandate [4] - 4:8, 4:9, 18:5. 20:23 mandates [2] - 18:19 manner [2] - 12:20, 21:25 marshal [1] - 33:8 **MARSHALL** [1] - 1:4 marshals [1] - 33:21 matter [4] - 3:25, 15:13, 32:23, 35:4

matters [1] - 30:19 MDC [1] - 9:12 meals [1] - 31:25 mean [1] - 23:8 mechanism [2] -12:11, 12:12 media [1] - 26:13 meet [1] - 16:10 meet-and-confer [1] -16:10 men [1] - 14:2 method [2] - 9:3, 12:22 Metropolitan [3] -7:24, 9:17, 9:19 midst [1] - 21:16 might [9] - 4:20, 5:22, 11:7, 11:12, 12:24, 13:8, 14:6, 25:18, 29:10 minimum [1] - 10:7 minor [1] - 23:8 misleading [1] - 29:9 molesting [1] - 14:21 moment [2] - 14:8, 28:12 Mondays [2] - 30:12, 31:1 months [1] - 24:8 morning [8] - 3:8, 3:11, 3:12, 3:15, 3:16, 4:1, 8:3, 12:8 most [1] - 29:2 move [2] - 9:19, 21:22 MR [22] - 3:12, 7:21, 8:21, 9:8, 10:4, 10:13, 11:6, 12:7, 14:9, 18:24, 21:3, 22:7, 24:3, 24:5, 24:17, 25:10, 26:20, 28:2, 28:16, 31:5, 33:4, 34:5 **MS** [15] - 3:8, 14:8, 14:14, 15:13, 17:1,

17:19, 21:9, 25:4, o'clock [9] - 31:8, 25:7, 26:7, 28:12, 31:11, 31:12, 32:7, 28:14, 31:2, 31:17, 32:13, 32:15, 32:16, 33:8 multiple [4] - 16:8, 19:17, 23:14, 23:19 multiple-count [1] -19:17 Ν

nature [2] - 14:16, 21:18 necessary [1] - 17:14 necessitated [2] -

21:5, 21:10 need [25] - 3:22, 4:5, 4:15, 7:1, 7:5, 7:14, 7:18, 8:5, 9:15, 16:13, 16:20, 18:10, 18:16. 20:15. 20:22. 21:8. 25:13. 25:24. 25:25, 26:22, 27:21, 33:2, 33:10, 33:21, 33:22 needed [2] - 4:21, 12:15 needs [8] - 12:18, 17:23, 20:5, 25:20, 27:14, 27:18, 33:17 never [1] - 18:14 new [7] - 4:3, 6:14, 20:12, 20:15, 25:20, 26:4, 29:11 next [2] - 18:3, 28:9 Ninth [10] - 6:15, 19:20, 20:2, 20:8, 21:19, 22:12, 22:17, 24:11, 24:20, 26:16 nonetheless [1] - 21:7 noontime [2] - 32:12, 32.13 North [3] - 1:24, 2:6, 10:24 **note** [1] - 28:16 noted [1] - 8:22 notice [1] - 30:23 noticed [2] - 6:6, 6:18 notify [1] - 15:3 November [9] - 29:15, 29:16, 29:22, 29:24, 31:2, 31:5, 32:7, 32:10, 32:24 number [2] - 10:15, 19:4 numbers [1] - 7:6

0

32:24 object [2] - 27:19, 29:7 **objection** [1] - 6:12 obtain [1] - 5:2 obviously [2] - 8:25, 26:10 occasions [1] - 6:23 occurred [3] - 8:2, 14:1, 18:23 occurring [1] - 32:1 October [2] - 23:4,

25:4 OCTOBER [2] - 1:18, 3:1 **OF** [4] - 1:2, 1:8, 2:3, 2:11 offenders [1] - 11:7 offense [12] - 10:11, 10:14, 14:19, 19:1, 19:7, 19:23, 20:5, 20:20, 22:2, 23:23, 24:1, 24:6 offenses [4] - 10:14, 10:17, 11:1, 14:1 offer [4] - 10:11, 10:13, 16:24, 30:20 offered [1] - 20:7 Office [1] - 2:5 office [1] - 11:7 **OFFICER** [2] - 10:6, 11:4 offices [1] - 24:25 Official [1] - 1:23 official [1] - 35:11 ON [2] - 2:3, 2:11 one [19] - 4:9, 5:5, 5:6, 5:15, 6:16, 6:17, 7:1, 8:3, 9:9, 10:19, 12:12, 13:21, 14:8, 14:15, 14:18, 20:23, 22:22, 26:8, 28:12 one-page [1] - 4:9 ones [2] - 7:4, 29:3 open [1] - 8:12 opine [1] - 20:8 **opportunity** [3] - 14:5, 15:8, 29:7 order [9] - 4:5, 4:22, 16:9, 16:13, 16:20, 17:23, 17:25, 21:1, 28:25 original [4] - 19:14, 20:10, 20:14, 22:19 otherwise [1] - 13:14 outside [1] - 24:2 overwhelmed [1] -30:10 Ρ

page [2] - 4:9, 23:6 paper [5] - 20:15, 21:11, 24:18, 25:2, 25:3 papers [13] - 4:23, 5:1, 5:2, 16:6, 20:16, 22:24, 22:25, 25:19, 26:10, 27:1, 27:25, 29.1 part [4] - 4:20, 9:9,

10:17, 21:14 participating [2] -5:12, 13:10 participation [1] -13.11 particular [1] - 27:11 parties [11] - 20:4, 20:13, 20:24, 20:25, 22:23, 23:22, 24:9, 26:23, 27:1, 27:8, 27:25 Parties' [1] - 23:6 parties' [1] - 14:11 past [1] - 7:1 pattern [2] - 19:16, 23:17 Peace [1] - 2:5 perhaps [2] - 8:3, 8:10 period [1] - 7:11 permit [1] - 12:16 permutations [1] -19:24 person [3] - 9:1, 15:21, 33:8 personal [1] - 11:20 **petitioning** [1] - 13:16 phases [1] - 15:8 **phone** [3] - 8:11, 8:12, 12:5 phonetically [3] -21:20, 22:14 phrased [1] - 9:24 physically [1] - 9:23 place [9] - 6:2, 10:10, 12:11, 17:6, 21:16, 26:2, 27:4, 28:9 **plaintiff** [1] - 1:10 **PLAINTIFF** [1] - 2:3 pled [3] - 10:12, 17:7, 17:13 **point** [9] - 12:5, 14:9, 16:5, 19:9, 21:15, 23:9, 26:8, 26:18, 33:22 pointed [1] - 22:9 points [3] - 23:11, 23:14, 23:17 position [26] - 4:23, 5:1, 5:2, 16:7, 19:21, 20:15, 20:16, 21:5, 21:6, 21:9, 21:11, 21:19, 21:24, 22:1, 22:24, 23:3, 23:10, 25:2, 25:19, 26:25, 27:25, 29:1, 29:10,

33:23, 34:2

15:25, 16:14

positions [3] - 14:11,

Positions [1] - 23:6

possessions [1] -

7:23

9:20 possibility [1] - 28:24 possible [3] - 8:3, 8:10. 19:22 preclude [1] - 27:17 preference [1] - 30:25 **preferred** [1] - 9:3 premise [1] - 27:22 prepared [7] - 4:13, 6:20, 6:21, 16:23, 24:25, 28:8, 30:20 present [5] - 3:13, 9:23, 14:5, 16:24, 17:14 presentence [3] -18:9, 27:1, 27:24 presentencing [1] -11:22 presently [2] - 5:12, 29:2 **PRESIDING** [1] - 1:4 press [5] - 22:18, 26:9, 26:18, 26:21, 27:15 presuming [1] - 21:7 pretrial [1] - 9:10 previous [1] - 4:24 previously [1] - 7:22 Prisons [3] - 10:2, 10:7, 10:10 private [3] - 8:6, 8:8, 8:10 probation [12] - 6:21, 6:24, 18:7, 18:12, 18:25, 19:5, 23:9, 23:12, 23:15, 23:17, 26:13, 27:3 PROBATION [2] -10:6, 11:4 probation's [2] -18:11, 23:21 problem [4] - 14:22, 14:25, 32:22, 33:17 procedural [2] - 8:22, 14:10 proceed [8] - 5:11, 7:22, 7:25, 9:5, 11:15, 12:20, 12:21, 16:18 proceeding [10] -4:19, 5:14, 8:18, 9:2, 9:10, 12:8, 13:15, 15:8, 16:11, 17:8 proceedings [5] -3:20, 13:23, 15:12, 34:8, 35:3 Proceedings [1] -1:16 process [3] - 15:17, 15:22, 17:2

Re [1] - 23:7 program [6] - 10:11, 10:21, 10:25, 11:2, re [3] - 7:23, 21:6, 23:3 11:11, 11:12 re-transported [1] programs [3] - 13:9, 13:11, 31:25 progress [1] - 28:21 pronounced [2] -19:6, 19:7 proof [1] - 23:4 proper [1] - 22:5 properly [1] - 21:2 provide [2] - 4:25, 24:22 provided 151 - 13:1. 13:8, 27:3, 27:19, 33:18 providing [2] - 20:13, 27:17 provisions [1] - 19:11 **PROWLER** [1] - 1:12 Prowler [19] - 3:6, 3:13, 3:15, 7:22, 8:5, 8:9, 8:13, 8:22, 8:24, 9:11, 9:13, 9:15, 9:25, 11:15, 12:16, 21:4, 33:7, 34:2 Prowler's [2] - 28:21, 33.23 public [1] - 12:2 **Public** [1] - 2:13 purpose [1] - 18:17 purposes [6] - 10:19, 18:10, 18:15, 20:22, 25:3, 27:14 put [7] - 5:7, 22:23, 25:14, 25:18, 26:5, 30:9, 33:2 Q

questions [10] - 4:7, 5:15, 5:22, 6:6, 7:15, 8:15, 11:15, 13:21, 28.7 quite [2] - 6:7, 12:17

R

raise [2] - 13:21, 31:21 raised [2] - 7:15, 26:9 raising [1] - 3:19 range [14] - 18:12, 18:13, 18:15, 18:21, 19:1, 20:18, 20:20, 24:1, 24:2, 24:4, 24:5, 24:7, 24:8, 27:12 rather [3] - 7:23, 8:16,

12:9

reach [1] - 22:2 reaching [1] - 12:3 read [5] - 13:24, 16:13, 16:16, 17:12, 26:25 reading [4] - 4:10, 18:4, 18:18, 25:23 reason [5] - 9:7, 10:12, 11:2, 30:3, 31:21 reasonable [1] - 26:15 reasonableness [4] -21:22, 22:3, 22:11, 22.14 reasoning [1] - 21:12 reasons [2] - 7:12, 9:9 receive [1] - 8:19 received [1] - 13:6 recent [2] - 25:19, 29:3 recommendations [1] - 10:23 record [12] - 4:17, 5:7, 8:25, 15:6, 16:20, 26:3, 26:6, 27:9, 27:10, 28:10, 28:13, 33.3 recorded [1] - 35:3 reduction [1] - 35:6 referenced [1] - 16:2 regarding [1] - 28:19 regulations [1] - 35:7 rejected [2] - 19:12, 19:20 release [7] - 6:7, 22:18, 26:9, 26:18, 26:21, 27:15, 28:18 relevant [2] - 27:20, 28:4 relied [1] - 21:12 rely [1] - 25:21 remain [2] - 29:11, 33:23 remaining [2] - 5:11, 11:16 remand [5] - 14:11, 18:4, 21:6, 21:10 remanded [6] - 20:6, 20:25, 21:1, 21:8, 22:4, 22:5 remanding [1] - 20:2 **Reply** [1] - 22:12 report [4] - 6:20, 18:9, 27:1, 27:24

Reporter's [1] - 1:16 representation [2] -8:20, 12:20 representations [1] -17:10 request [1] - 30:19 required [1] - 14:4 requirements [1] -33:16 requires [1] - 15:7 research [2] - 4:15, 24:15 resentencing [9] - 9:1, 9:16. 9:23. 12:9. 12:10. 20:6. 22:24. 23:3, 26:24 resolve [1] - 4:22 resolved [1] - 5:7 respectful [1] - 26:11 respectfully [1] -26:20 respective [1] - 16:13 responsibility [2] -12:15, 12:19 responsible [1] -33:19 rest [1] - 11:17 restricting [1] - 7:7 resurrecting [1] -19:17 return [2] - 9:14, 12:10 review [5] - 4:4, 4:5, 6:10, 25:16, 25:17 reviewing [2] - 21:18, 27:10 **reworded** [1] - 7:6 rights [1] - 14:3 Room [1] - 1:24 **room** [1] - 8:4 routine [1] - 9:21 **RPR** [2] - 1:23, 35:11 rule [2] - 19:18, 27:20

S

run [1] - 14:24

S-E-A-G-O-V-I-L-L-E [1] - 10:5 satisfied [2] - 7:16, 8:18 satisfy [3] - 4:15, 17:22, 33:15 **satisfying** [1] - 33:14 **saw** [1] - 23:5 schedule [1] - 32:19 **Seagoville** [9] - 3:14, 8:5, 9:19, 9:21, 10:2, 10:5, 10:16, 11:9, 12:13

seal [8] - 5:3, 5:4, 16:3, 16:7, 25:6, 25:9, 25:10, 25:11 search [1] - 7:7 second [1] - 8:1 **security** [1] - 10:8 see [2] - 6:11, 13:10 seek [1] - 6:24 segregated [1] - 9:11 sent [1] - 11:8 sentence [20] - 4:2, 5:9. 6:9. 7:9. 7:13. 10:23. 16:21. 18:8. 18:16, 19:6, 19:11, 21:13, 22:20, 23:25, 24:7, 26:15, 26:16, 27:12, 30:4 sentenced [2] - 21:2, 25:22 sentences [1] - 21:18 sentencing [37] - 3:25, 4:6, 4:19, 4:24, 5:11, 5:23, 11:16, 13:19, 13:23, 15:9, 16:7, 16:19, 17:8, 17:16, 18:2, 18:13, 18:14, 18:20, 19:3, 19:5, 19:6, 19:15, 20:10, 20:12, 20:22, 21:12, 22:19, 26:4, 26:18, 27:14, 28:4, 29:4, 29:12, 30:9, 31:6, 32:14, 33:24 serve [1] - 15:16 serves [1] - 10:22 service [1] - 23:4 set [6] - 22:15, 28:8, 30:11, 30:24, 31:22. 32:14 Sherilyn [2] - 2:5, 3:8 short [3] - 9:20, 12:2, 20:7 show [1] - 13:7 shows [1] - 23:20 side [3] - 27:2, 28:7, 29.1 sides [2] - 5:10, 27:18 similar [1] - 11:1 **simply** [5] - 7:9, 8:10, 12:9, 20:9, 30:6 solitary [1] - 9:12 someone [1] - 14:12 sometimes [1] - 13:4 soon [1] - 31:20 sooner [1] - 30:1 sorry [1] - 32:15 sort [1] - 15:16 **speaking** [1] - 8:16 **Special** [1] - 3:10

special [3] - 10:11,

Reporter [2] - 1:23,

35:11

11:1, 11:10 specific [2] - 10:25, 27:8 specifically [1] - 19:13 spelled [4] - 10:5, 21:20, 21:21, 22:15 Spring [2] - 1:24, 2:6 standing [1] - 8:9 start [3] - 21:21, 27:4, 27:22 started [1] - 4:4 starting [3] - 26:24, 27:3, 29:22 state [1] - 5:18 statement [1] - 17:15 **States** [5] - 2:6, 3:6, 3:9, 17:5, 17:6 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:8 states [1] - 35:7 statute [3] - 15:7, 17:22, 17:24 stenographically [1] -35:3 Stephen [1] - 3:6 steps [2] - 12:10, 21.17 **STEVEN** [1] - 1:12 still [7] - 4:10, 5:24, 8:19, 11:8, 17:15, 24:2, 30:22 straighten [2] - 18:5, 18:23 Street [3] - 1:24, 2:6, stress [1] - 11:24 strong [1] - 22:20 subsequently [1] -19:10 **successful** [1] - 13:10 suggest [2] - 7:5, 20:24 suggested [1] - 29:21 suggests [1] - 18:19 supervised [2] - 6:7, 28:18 supplement [1] - 29:1 supplemental [3] -22:24, 24:18, 32:25 **support** [1] - 20:14 supported [1] - 6:12 supposed [1] - 17:25 Supreme [1] - 21:17

Т

table [1] - 3:10 telephone [4] - 8:4, 12:2, 12:12, 12:13 Telephone [1] - 1:25 ten [1] - 19:6 ten-year [1] - 19:6 terms [2] - 15:25, 29:19 testify [1] - 17:14 testimony [1] - 16:25 Testing [1] - 6:21 Texas [10] - 3:14, 7:18, 8:5, 9:19, 9:21, 10:2, 10:16, 11:5, 11:17, 32:9 **Thai** [1] - 17:6 Thailand [3] - 13:25, 14:21. 15:16 **THE** [47] - 2:3, 2:11, 3:11, 3:15, 3:16, 3:17, 8:14, 9:4, 10:1, 10:9, 10:18, 11:10, 11:19, 12:14, 14:13, 15:4, 16:9, 17:11, 17:21, 20:11, 23:2, 24:4, 24:9, 24:24, 25:6, 25:8, 25:12, 26:22, 28:6, 28:15, 28:25, 29:16, 29:19, 30:14, 30:20, 31:4, 31:9, 31:12, 31:19, 32:11, 32:14, 32:20, 32:23, 33:13, 34:3, 34:4, 34:6 thee [1] - 18:8 themselves [1] - 13:5 therefore [2] - 19:25, 30:4 they've [5] - 13:5, 13:6, 13:7 thinking [1] - 29:25 three [1] - 31:17 throughout [1] - 14:25 today [7] - 4:2, 5:9, 7:13, 12:9, 17:10, 26:9, 26:17 together [1] - 25:14 took [3] - 17:6, 21:16, 32:16 top [1] - 24:8 total [5] - 19:1, 19:7, 19:23, 20:5, 22:2 track [4] - 14:17, 15:1, 15:23, 17:8 tracked [1] - 14:23 Transcript [1] - 1:16

transcript [3] - 4:19,

transport [1] - 11:23

transported [4] - 7:23,

transporting [2] - 9:6,

9:22, 17:4, 33:18

transportation [1] -

35:3, 35:5

33:9

33:7 trial [6] - 15:14, 16:23, 17:15, 29:22, 30:4, 30:22 tried [1] - 15:14 trouble [1] - 12:3 true [1] - 35:2 try [3] - 3:21, 8:15, 8:17 **trying** [1] - 4:13 **TUESDAY** [2] - 1:18, two [13] - 7:10, 7:11, 9:9. 19:11. 23:9. 25:22, 29:4, 29:6, 29:21, 31:15, 32:11, 32:12, 33:1 two-point [1] - 23:9 two-year [1] - 7:11 **type** [2] - 3:19, 10:14 types [1] - 10:17

U

ultimate [3] - 21:13, 22:2, 26:18 unable [1] - 5:9 unclear [2] - 20:10, 21.5 under [11] - 5:3, 5:4, 10:24, 16:3, 16:7, 19:17, 22:14, 25:6, 25:9, 25:10, 25:11 **UNITED** [2] - 1:1, 1:8 united [1] - 35:7 United [5] - 2:6, 3:5, 3:9, 17:4, 17:5 unless [1] - 20:16 unpublished [1] - 20:3 **US**[1] - 2:5 USACAC.Criminal@ usdoj.gov [1] - 2:8

video [7] - 5:13, 5:14, 8:19, 11:16, 12:6, 33:11, 33:24 VideoLink [4] - 3:14, 7:23, 8:9, 9:2 visiting [1] - 10:19 voice [1] - 15:12 VS [1] - 1:11 vulnerable [2] - 19:13, 23:11

W

walking [1] - 8:2

wants [1] - 7:25

watch [1] - 17:4

warden [1] - 13:8

watched [1] - 17:5
wayside [1] - 15:18
week [1] - 12:4
weeks [3] - 29:4, 29:6,
33:1
wish [3] - 26:2, 28:7,
28:9
wishes [2] - 12:25,
13:13
works [1] - 29:13
writ [4] - 33:5, 33:6,
33:10, 33:21
written [2] - 13:5,

Υ

13:13

year [2] - 7:11, 19:6 years [3] - 7:10, 8:23, 25:22 young [1] - 14:2 yourself [1] - 33:14 yourselves [1] - 17:22

Ζ

zzCAC_FPD_ Document_ Receiving@fd.org [1] - 2:15

V

vacated [1] - 20:6 various [3] - 14:17, 14:23, 16:6 versus [1] - 3:6 via [2] - 3:13, 12:2 victim [2] - 19:13, 23:11 victims [17] - 13:22, 13:25, 14:14, 14:16, 14:18, 14:23, 15:2, 15:7, 15:24, 16:23, 16:24, 17:2, 17:9, 17:10, 17:13, 17:24